Y-system for γ-deformed ABJM Theory

Hui-Huang Chen[a*], Peng Liu[a†], Jun-Bao Wu[b,a,c,d‡]

[a] *Institute of High Energy Physics, and Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19B Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, P. R. China*
[b] *School of Physics, Beihang University, 37 Xueyuan Road, Beijing 100191, P. R. China*
[c] *Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, 5 Yiheyuan Road, Beijing 100871, P. R. China*
[d] *University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19A Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, P. R. China*

arXiv:1611.02804v2 [hep-th] 16 Nov 2016

Abstract

We investigate the integrable aspects of the planar γ-deformed ABJM theory and proposed the twisted asymptotic Bethe ansatz equations. A more general method through a twist generating functional was discussed, based on which, the asymptotic large L solution of Y-system is modified in order to match the asymptotic Bethe ansatz equations. Serval applications of our method were made in $sl(2)$ sector and some important examples in β-deformed ABJM were present as well.

*chenhh@ihep.ac.cn
†liup51@ihep.ac.cn
‡wujb@ihep.ac.cn

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 The γ-deformation of ABJM theory **3**
2.1 The $su(4)$ sector 4
2.2 The full sector 4
2.2.1 The distinguished basis 4
2.2.2 $sl(2)$ grading 5
2.3 Duality properties 6

3 Asymptotic Bethe ansatz **7**

4 The $\gamma-$deformed AdS_4/CFT_3 Y-system **8**
4.1 The twisted generating functional 9
4.2 The asymptotic solution 9

5 Applications to $sl(2)$ sector **10**
5.1 Two-loop wrapping corrections to twist-1 operators 11
5.2 Four-loop wrapping corrections to twist-2 operators 12

6 β-deformation **12**
6.1 One-particle state 13
6.2 A simple case of two particle state 14

7 Conclusions **15**

A Algebraic Bethe Ansatz **16**

B The $su(2)$ grading **17**

1 Introduction

The celebrate AdS/CFT correspondence continues to be a source of exciting new results in both gauge theory and string theory, with the best studied example being the AdS_5/CFT_4 duality between four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal Yang-Mills theory(SYM) and Type IIB superstring theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [1]. There are also other AdS/CFT examples [2, 3] with field theories being in lower dimensions and integrability properties discovered on both sides. One of these is the AdS_4/CFT_3 duality proposed in [4], which relates three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons theory and type IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. Similar to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, a complete description of planar anomalous dimensions of infinitely long operators has been investigated by means of the Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz(ABA) equations [5]. To solve the spectral problem for actual operators with finite length, finite size effects must be computed. The complete solution to AdS/CFT spectral problem is encoded in the Y-system [6], which has an equivalent but elegant form — the Quantum Spectral Curve(QSC) [7]. One of the very impressive results is the computation of the triple wrapping corrections in ABJM theory [8] using the QSC proposed in [9]. Nevertheless, the good old Y-system is still efficient for computing leading wrapping corrections.

Integrability gives us some hopes to solve exactly highly non-trivial quantum field theories. However, such theories are quite rare and integrable structure usually only appears in the large N limit. Notice that the integrable structures in AdS/CFT correspondence first appears in theories with large amount of supersymmetries. It would be very interesting to see how far one can go by reducing

Fields	Y^1	Y^2	Y^3	Y^4	$\Psi^{\dagger 1}$	$\Psi^{\dagger 2}$	$\Psi^{\dagger 3}$	$\Psi^{\dagger 4}$
$U(1)_1$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
$U(1)_2$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
$U(1)_3$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$

Table 1: Charges of fields under three $U(1)$ generators of $SU(4)_R$.

the supersymmetries of the original theory while keeping integrable structure at the same time. For AdS_5/CFT_4, people have explored a lot through at least three approaches including adding flavors [10]-[12], marginal deformations [13]-[16] and orbifolding [17]-[22]. For more information on these topics see reviews [23, 24] and references therein. Some wrapping effects in β- and γ-deformed SYM theories was computed using Lüscher method and/or Y-system in [25]-[28]. In three-dimensional case, similar discussions are restricted. In [29], integrability of planar β-deformed ABJM theories was established at two-loop order in the scalar sector.[1] Recently, integrability of orbifold ABJM theories was studied in details in [31].

The γ-deformation of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory are very special among marginal deformations in the sense that they preserve integrable structure. In gauge theory side, the deformation can be expressed through a non-commutative star product for each interaction term in the Lagrangian. In its dual string theory side, it can be constructed by T-duality-shift-T-duality transformations [32]. Marginal deformations of Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson theory [37]-[41] were studied in [42]. Similar deformation were all studied in gravity side in [43]. These deformations were reviewed in [44]. The γ-deformed ABJM theories and their gravity duals were studied in [30]. Some classical string solutions in these deformed backgrounds of type IIA string theory have been studied in [33]-[36].

The integrability of γ-deformed ABJM theory in [30] in the scalar sector at two-loop level can be proved similarly as what was done for β-deformed theory [29]. In this paper we make the very natural assumption that the planar γ-deformed ABJM theory is integrable for all sector and to all loop orders, and compute the twist matrix as in [15]. Then the proposal of asymptotic Bethe equations is straightforward to get. We investigate the duality properties which have been derived in [31], we find they are all satisfied nicely as they should. Thanks to these duality properties, a more general twisted generating functional method is proposed. To match the twisted ABA equations, a modified asymptotic large L solution of Y-system is present. Various applications have been made in $sl(2)$ sector. Since the AdS_4/CFT_3 Y-system have been proposed in [6] and refined in [45] (see also [46]), the investigation of its application for the non-symmetric solutions $Y_{\blacktriangleleft} \neq Y_{\blacktriangleright}$ have not been made in the weak coupling region. For the β-deformed ABJM theory, a first few attempts have been made for some simple states.

The plan of the paper is the following. In section 2, we briefly discuss the basic properties of γ-deformed ABJM theory, and the twist matrix is derived. In section 3, we present the asymptotic Bethe ansatz for γ-deformed ABJM theory. In section 4, the twisted Y-system is proposed. Finally, in section 5 and 6 some applications are investigated.

2 The γ-deformation of ABJM theory

The three-parameter deformation of ABJM theory can be performed by replacing all of the ordinary product fg of two fields f and g in the Lagrangian by the following non-commutating star product,

$$f * g = e^{i\pi Q_f \times Q_g} fg = e^{i\pi Q_f^i C_{ij} Q_g^j} fg. \tag{2.1}$$

[1] Integrability of a γ-deformation different from the ones in [30] was also studied in [29].

The $U(1)^3$ charges of the fundamental fields are given in the table 1. The phase matrix $\mathbf{C}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_3 & +\gamma_2 \\ +\gamma_3 & 0 & -\gamma_1 \\ -\gamma_2 & +\gamma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

2.1 The $su(4)$ sector

The Bethe ansatz equations of γ-deformed theories are the same as the ones for the original theory except by adding some phases. In order to obtain the appropriate twist phases in the $su(4)$ sector, we introduce the $\mathbf{B}$ matrix [15]. When permuting two scalars Y^i and Y^j, we pick up a phase

$$Y^i * Y^j = e^{2\pi i B_{ij}} Y^j * Y^i. \tag{2.3}$$

In the basis (Y^1, Y^2, Y^3, Y^4), the $\mathbf{B}$ matrix takes the form

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\gamma_2 & \gamma_1-\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & -\gamma_1-\gamma_2+\gamma_3 \\ -2\gamma_2 & 0 & \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3 & -\gamma_1+\gamma_2-\gamma_3 \\ -\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3 & -\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & 0 & 2\gamma_1 \\ \gamma_1+\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & \gamma_1-\gamma_2+\gamma_3 & -2\gamma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

$su(4)$ is a rank 3 algebra, and there are three types of excitations in this sector. We denote the three creation operators by $\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{B}_4, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{4}}$. Their actions are

$$\mathcal{B}_3 : Y^2 \to Y^3, \mathcal{B}_4 : Y^1 \to Y^2, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{4}} : Y^3 \to Y^4. \tag{2.5}$$

Since our vacuum is chosen as $\mathrm{Tr}(Y^1 Y_4^\dagger)^L$, we now should consider twist matrix in the basis $(Y^1 Y_4^\dagger | Y_2^\dagger Y^3, Y_1^\dagger Y^2, Y_3^\dagger Y^4)$. The twist matrix turns out to be

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & \gamma_2-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 & -\gamma_1+\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 \\ \hline -\gamma_1+\gamma_2 & 0 & -\gamma_2-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 & \gamma_1+\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 \\ -\gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 & \gamma_2+\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 & 0 & -\gamma_3 \\ \gamma_1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 & -\gamma_1-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

The twist phases appearing in Bethe equations are simply given by $2\pi(\mathbf{AK})_i$ with where $\mathbf{K} = (L|K_3, K_4, K_{\bar{4}})$. The result is consistent with the results given in Appendix A where direct computations through deformed R-matrix were performed. For $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0, \gamma_3 = -\beta$, this comes back to the the result in [29] for β-deformed case. [2]

2.2 The full sector

2.2.1 The distinguished basis

From the above example, we know the crucial point is to find out the action of the creation operators. The full sector contains five types of excitations $u_1, \ldots, u_{\bar{4}}$, and there are five corresponding creation operators $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{4}}$. There actions on the fundamental fields in the distinguished Dynkin diagram can be found as

$$\mathcal{B}_1 : \psi_{I+} \to \psi_{I-},\ \mathcal{B}_2 : Y_1^\dagger \to \psi^{\dagger 2+},\ \mathcal{B}_3 : Y^2 \to Y^3,\ \mathcal{B}_4 : Y^1 \to Y^2,\ \mathcal{B}_{\bar{4}} : Y^3 \to Y^4. \tag{2.7}$$

[2] We denote the real deformation parameter in β-deformed theory as β. Notice in some previous work including [29], it was denoted as γ to stress that it is real.

Then we find the twist matrix in the basis $(Y^1Y_4^\dagger|1, Y^1\psi^{\dagger 2+}, Y_2^\dagger Y^3, Y_1^\dagger Y^2, Y_3^\dagger Y^4)$ as

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|ccccc} 0 & 0 & \gamma_2-\gamma_1 & 2\gamma_1-2\gamma_2 & 2\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & \gamma_3-2\gamma_1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & 2\gamma_2 & -2\gamma_1 \\ 2\gamma_2-2\gamma_1 & 0 & \gamma_2-\gamma_1 & 0 & -2\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & 2\gamma_1+\gamma_3 \\ \gamma_3-2\gamma_2 & 0 & -2\gamma_2 & 2\gamma_2+\gamma_3 & 0 & -2\gamma_3 \\ 2\gamma_1-\gamma_3 & 0 & 2\gamma_1 & -2\gamma_1-\gamma_3 & 2\gamma_3 & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{2.8}$$

Actually if we use a different parametrization of $\mathbf{C}$ matrix

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3 & -\delta_1 \\ -\delta_1-2\delta_2-\delta_3 & 0 & \delta_3 \\ \delta_1 & -\delta_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.9}$$

we can obtain $\mathbf{A}$ matrix more directly. Introducing the charges

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_1 &= (0|0,1,-2,1,1)\,, \\ \mathbf{q}_2 &= (1|0,0,1,-2,0)\,, \\ \mathbf{q}_3 &= (1|0,0,1,0,-2)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

the twist matrix can be obtained directly as

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_1(\mathbf{q}_1^T\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2^T\mathbf{q}_1) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2(\mathbf{q}_2^T\mathbf{q}_3 - \mathbf{q}_3^T\mathbf{q}_2) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_3(\mathbf{q}_3^T\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1^T\mathbf{q}_3). \tag{2.11}$$

Here and in the following for other basis/gradings of the full sector, $\mathbf{K} = (L|K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4, K_{\bar{4}})$.

2.2.2 $sl(2)$ grading

In the following sections, we mainly work in the $sl(2)$ grading. In Appendix B we give the result on the alternative grading. In the $sl(2)$ grading ($\eta = -1$), the actions of the creation operators like

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B}_1 : Y^3 \to \psi_{4-}, Y^4 \to \psi_{3-}, Y_1^\dagger \to \psi^{\dagger 2-}, Y_2^\dagger \to \psi^{\dagger 1-}, \\ &\mathcal{B}_2 : Y^2 \to Y^3, \psi_{3\pm} \to \psi_{2\pm}, \\ &\mathcal{B}_3 : \psi^{\dagger 1+} \to Y_3^\dagger, \psi_{4+} \to Y^2, \psi^{\dagger 3+} \to Y_1^\dagger, \psi_{2+} \to Y^4, \\ &\mathcal{B}_4 : Y^1 \to \psi_{4+}, Y^4 \to \psi_{1+}, Y_2^\dagger \to \psi^{\dagger 3+}, Y_3^\dagger \to \psi^{\dagger 2+}, \\ &\mathcal{B}_{\bar{4}} : Y^2 \to \psi_{3+}, Y^3 \to \psi_{2+}, Y_1^\dagger \to \psi^{\dagger 4+}, Y_4^\dagger \to \psi^{\dagger 1+}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The twist matrix is

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|ccccc} 0 & \gamma_2-\gamma_1 & 2(\gamma_1-\gamma_2) & \gamma_2-\gamma_1 & \gamma_1+\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & -\gamma_1-\gamma_2+\gamma_3 \\ \hline \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & 0 & \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & \gamma_2-\gamma_1 & \gamma_1+\gamma_2 & -\gamma_1-\gamma_2 \\ 2(\gamma_2-\gamma_1) & \gamma_2-\gamma_1 & 0 & \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & -\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3 \\ \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & \gamma_1-\gamma_2 & \gamma_2-\gamma_1 & 0 & \gamma_3 & -\gamma_3 \\ -\gamma_1-\gamma_2+\gamma_3 & -\gamma_1-\gamma_2 & \gamma_1+\gamma_2+\gamma_3 & -\gamma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1+\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & \gamma_1+\gamma_2 & -\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\gamma_3 & \gamma_3 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{2.13}$$

In this case the three charges are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_1 &= (0|1,-2,1,0,0), \\ \mathbf{q}_2 &= (1|0,1,-1,-1,1), \\ \mathbf{q}_3 &= (1|0,1,-1,1,-1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and the twist matrix expressed in terms of δ's is

$$\mathbf{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|ccccc} 0 & \delta_3-\delta_1 & 2\delta_1-2\delta_3 & \delta_3-\delta_1 & 2\delta_2 & -2\delta_2 \\ \hline \delta_1-\delta_3 & 0 & \delta_1-\delta_3 & \delta_3-\delta_1 & -\delta_1-\delta_3 & \delta_1+\delta_3 \\ 2\delta_3-2\delta_1 & \delta_3-\delta_1 & 0 & \delta_1-\delta_3 & 2\delta_1+2\delta_2+2\delta_3 & -2\delta_1-2\delta_2-2\delta_3 \\ \delta_1-\delta_3 & \delta_1-\delta_3 & \delta_3-\delta_1 & 0 & -\delta_1-2\delta_2-\delta_3 & \delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3 \\ -2\delta_2 & \delta_1+\delta_3 & -2\delta_1-2\delta_2-2\delta_3 & \delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2\delta_2 & -\delta_1-\delta_3 & 2\delta_1+2\delta_2+2\delta_3 & -\delta_1-2\delta_2-\delta_3 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{2.15}$$

2.3 Duality properties

In this section we investigate the duality properties of the twist charges appearing in the Bethe ansatz equations, which were derived in detail in [31]. There a series of relations between twist charges was obtained for orbifold ABJM theories for the validity of dynamical duality transformation and Fermionic duality transformation. For γ-deformation of ABJM theory, the case is similar, at least at the level of the Bethe ansatz equations. It turns out they all satisfied nicely.
Let's consider them carefully. The first important relation in γ-deformed ABJM theory takes the form

$$(\mathbf{AK})_3=(\mathbf{AK})_1-(\mathbf{AK})_0, \tag{2.16}$$

for $sl(2)$ grading, and

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_3=(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_1+(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_0, \tag{2.17}$$

for the $su(2)$ grading. Here we have used the notations that symbols with a tilde represent the physical variables in the $su(2)$ grading. See appendix B for it explicit expression. This relation is related to the dynamic duality property, which is essential for all loop Bethe ansatz equations since they are dynamical in nature what means that the operators with different lengths can mix with each other. It is satisfied in the two gradings separately. It is actually a relation among elements of twist matrix since the excitation numbers in both sides of the equation are always the same.

The remaining relations are

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_4+(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_3=(\mathbf{AK})_4,\ (\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_{\bar{4}}+(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_3=(\mathbf{AK})_{\bar{4}}. \tag{2.18}$$

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_0-2(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_3=(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_2-(\mathbf{AK})_2. \tag{2.19}$$

$$(\mathbf{AK})_0-2(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_3=(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_2-(\mathbf{AK})_2. \tag{2.20}$$

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_1+(\mathbf{AK})_1=0. \tag{2.21}$$

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}})_3+(\mathbf{AK})_3=0. \tag{2.22}$$

They are essential for the equivalence of two different gradings and are all satisfied if we take the change of both twist matrix and excitation numbers into account when we switch from one grading to the other. Recall that the excitation numbers are related as

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{K}_1=K_2-K_1,\\ &\tilde{K}_3=K_2+K_4+K_{\bar{4}}-K_3,\\ &\tilde{K}_i=K_i,\quad i=2,4,\bar{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

3 Asymptotic Bethe ansatz

Having obtained the twist matrix, it is straightforward to write down the asymptotic Bethe ansatz eqaution. We will mainly work in $sl(2)$ favored grading. Let's first introduce some notation useful in the following. First we define the Zhukovski variable x through

$$x+\frac{1}{x}=\frac{u}{h(\lambda)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $h(\lambda)$ is the so-called interpolating function [47, 48, 49] which plays the role of effective coupling in the Bethe ansatz and TBA. Unlike the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM, it has nontrivial dependence on λ. It has the following behavior at weak coupling [50, 51, 52],

$$h(\lambda)=\lambda-\frac{\pi^2}{3}\lambda^3+\mathcal{O}(\lambda^5), \tag{3.2}$$

and strong coupling [53, 54, 55, 56],

$$h(\lambda)=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}-\frac{\log 2}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{48\sqrt{2\lambda}}+\mathcal{O}((\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}})^2). \tag{3.3}$$

Recently its explicit form has been conjectured by comparing the quantum spectral curve method and supersymmetric localization [57]. The "physical" and "mirror" branch of the function $x(u)$ are defined as

$$x^{\rm ph}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{h}+\sqrt{\frac{u}{h}-2}\sqrt{\frac{u}{h}+2}\right), x^{\rm mir}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{h}+i\sqrt{4-\frac{u^2}{h^2}}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

The energy and momentum for a single Bethe root u_4 and $u_{\bar{4}}$ are given by

$$\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}+h(\lambda)\left(\frac{i}{x^+}-\frac{i}{x^-}\right), p=\frac{1}{i}\log\frac{x^+}{x^-}, \tag{3.5}$$

and the total momentum corresponding to the first conserved charge $\mathcal{Q}_1$ is

$$\mathcal{Q}_1=\sum_{j=1}^{K_4}p(u_{4,j})+\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{4}}}p(u_{\bar{4},j}). \tag{3.6}$$

We have used the general notation

$$f^{\pm}\equiv f(u\pm i/2),\quad f^{[+a]}\equiv f(u+ia/2). \tag{3.7}$$

Using the notation in [27], the Bethe equations have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-2\pi i(\mathbf{AK})_1}&=e^{i\mathcal{Q}_1}\frac{Q_2^-B^{(+)}}{Q_2^+B^{(-)}}\Big|_{u_{1,k}},\\
e^{-2\pi i(\mathbf{AK})_2}&=-\frac{Q_2^{++}Q_1^-Q_3^-}{Q_2^{--}Q_1^+Q_3^+}\Big|_{u_{2,k}},\\
e^{-2\pi i(\mathbf{AK})_3}&=\frac{Q_2^-R^{(+)}}{Q_2^+R^{(-)}}\Big|_{u_{3,k}},\\
e^{-2\pi i(\mathbf{AK})_4}\left(\frac{x^+_{4,k}}{x^-_{4,k}}\right)^L&=\frac{B_1^+R_3^+B_4^{(+)+}R_{\bar{4}}^{(-)-}}{B_1^-R_3^-B_4^{(-)-}R_{\bar{4}}^{(+)+}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{K_4}\frac{x^+_{4,j}}{x^-_{4,j}}\right)S\Big|_{u_{4,k}},\\
e^{-2\pi i(\mathbf{AK})_{\bar{4}}}\left(\frac{x^+_{\bar{4},k}}{x^-_{\bar{4},k}}\right)^L&=\frac{B_1^+R_3^+B_{\bar{4}}^{(+)+}R_4^{(-)-}}{B_1^-R_3^-B_{\bar{4}}^{(-)-}R_4^{(+)+}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{4}}}\frac{x^+_{\bar{4},j}}{x^-_{\bar{4},j}}\right)S\Big|_{u_{\bar{4},k}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where various functions above are defined as:

$$R_l^{(\pm)} = \prod_{j=1}^{K_l} \left(x(u) - x_{l,j}^{\mp} \right), R_l = \prod_{j=1}^{K_l} \left(x(u) - x_{l,j} \right),$$
$$B_l^{(\pm)} = \prod_{j=1}^{K_l} \left(\frac{1}{x(u)} - x_{l,j}^{\mp} \right), B_l = \prod_{j=1}^{K_l} \left(\frac{1}{x(u)} - x_{l,j} \right),$$
$$Q_l = \prod_{j=1}^{K_l} (u - u_{l,j}), S_l = \prod_{j=1}^{K_l} \sigma_{BES}\left(x(u), x_{l,j} \right), \tag{3.9}$$

and the functions with no index mean a product of type-4 and type-$\bar{4}$ ones: $R = R_4 R_{\bar{4}}, B = B_4 B_{\bar{4}}, S = S_4 S_{\bar{4}}$. The Bethe roots must additionally constrained by the momentum condition

$$\prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{x_{4,j}^+}{x_{4,j}^-} \prod_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{4}}} \frac{x_{\bar{4},j}^+}{x_{\bar{4},j}^-} = e^{-2\pi i (\mathbf{AK})_0} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 = 2\pi m - 2\pi (\mathbf{AK})_0, \tag{3.10}$$

where m is an integer. The anomalous dimension of the composition operator is given by

$$E = h(\lambda)(\sum_{j=1}^{K_4}(\frac{i}{x_{4,j}^+} - \frac{i}{x_{4,j}^-}) + \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{4}}}(\frac{i}{x_{\bar{4},j}^+} - \frac{i}{x_{\bar{4},j}^-})). \tag{3.11}$$

The above equations are all written in terms of $x^{\mathrm{ph}}(u)$.

4 The $\gamma-$deformed $\mathbf{AdS}_4/\mathbf{CFT}_3$ Y-system

In this section, we briefly review some basic facts about the $\mathrm{AdS}_4/\mathrm{CFT}_3$ Y-system, for a thoroughly treatment see [45, 46]. The exact spectral information of the AdS/CFT correspondence could be compute through Y-functions which are constrained by the Y-system equations. Because we twist our theory, the TBA equations are slightly changed by adding some appropriate chemical potentials. However the Y-system is the same as the untwisted theory. The equations for Y-system basically have the form

$$Y_M^+ Y_M^- = \frac{\prod_H (1 + Y_H)}{\prod_V (1 + 1/Y_V)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $Y_M^{\pm} = Y_M(u \pm i/2)$ and the index H(resp. V) represent the nodes nearest to the M node in the horizontal(resp. vertical) direction. The explicit expressions of $\mathrm{AdS}_4/\mathrm{CFT}_3$ Y-system equations have some unusual form, however they are not relevant here. Once the Y-functions are found, the exact energy of a state can be expressed by

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^{K_4} \epsilon_1^{\mathrm{ph}}(u_{4,j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{4}}} \epsilon_1^{\mathrm{ph}}(u_{\bar{4},j}) + \delta E, \delta E = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial \epsilon_a^{\mathrm{mir}}(u)}{\partial u} \log(1 + Y_{\blacktriangleright_a}^{\mathrm{mir}})(1 + Y_{\blacktriangleleft_a}^{\mathrm{mir}}), \tag{4.2}$$

where the rapidities $u_{4,j}$ and $u_{\bar{4},j}$ are fixed by the exact Bethe ansatz equations

$$Y_{\blacktriangleleft_1}^{\mathrm{ph}}(u_{4,j}) = -1, \quad Y_{\blacktriangleright_1}^{\mathrm{ph}}(u_{\bar{4},j}) = -1, \tag{4.3}$$

and ϵ_n is the energy of a n-magnon bound state

$$\epsilon_n(u) = \frac{n}{2} + h(\lambda) \left(\frac{i}{x^{[+n]}} - \frac{i}{x^{[-n]}} \right). \tag{4.4}$$

4.1 The twisted generating functional

Our asymptotic Bethe ansatz equations can be derived from the twist AdS_4/CFT_3 Y-system. Inspired by [27], we proposal a twisted generating functional $\mathcal{W}$ which generate a infinity set of eigenvalues of $su(2|2)$ transfer matrix as

$$\mathcal{W}=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}T_{1,s}^{[1-s]}D^s\,,\qquad \mathcal{W}^{-1}=\sum_{a=1}^{\infty}(-1)^a T_{a,1}^{[1-a]}D^a\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where $D=e^{-i\partial_u}$. The generating functional we propose in the $sl(2)$ favored grading is

$$\mathcal{W}=\left(1-\tau_1\frac{B^{(+)+}Q_1^-R^{(+)-}}{B^{(-)+}Q_1^+R^{(-)-}}D\right)\frac{1}{\left(1-\tau_2\frac{Q_1^-Q_2^{++}R^{(+)-}}{Q_1^+Q_2R^{(-)-}}D\right)}\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{Q_2^{--}Q_3^+R^{(+)-}}{Q_2Q_3^-R^{(-)-}}D\right)}\left(1-\frac{1}{\tau_1}\frac{Q_3^+}{Q_3^-}D\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Then we find

$$T_{1,1}=\frac{R^{(+)-}}{R^{(-)-}}\left[\tau_2\frac{Q_1^-Q_2^{++}}{Q_1^+Q_2}-\tau_1\frac{B^{(+)+}Q_1^-}{B^{(-)+}Q_1^+}+\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{Q_2^{--}Q_3^+}{Q_2Q_3^-}-\frac{1}{\tau_1}\frac{Q_3^+R^{(-)-}}{Q_3^-R^{(+)-}}\right]. \tag{4.7}$$

The analyticity of $T_{1,1}$ at zeros of the Q-functions will give the asymptotic Bethe equations for u_1,u_2,u_3

$$\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\frac{B^{(+)}Q_2^-}{B^{(-)}Q_2^+}\bigg|_{u_{1,k}}=1\,,\tau_2^2\frac{Q_2^{++}Q_1^-Q_3^-}{Q_2^{--}Q_1^+Q_3^+}\bigg|_{u_{2,k}}=-1\,,\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}\frac{Q_2^-R^{(+)}}{Q_2^+R^{(-)}}\bigg|_{u_{3,k}}=1\,. \tag{4.8}$$

We see if we identify the twists τ_1,τ_2 as

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1&=e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}((\delta_1-\delta_3)(K_1-2K_2+K_3)-\delta_2(2K_4-2K_{\bar4}))},\\ \tau_2&=e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}((\delta_3-\delta_1)(2L+K_1-K_3)+(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_3)(2K_4-2K_{\bar4}))},\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

we find exactly our Bethe equations for u_1,u_2,u_3 in the $sl(2)$ grading. Notice that the consistence of the first and the third equations in (4.8) is guaranteed by eq. (2.16) which also play an important role for the validity of the dynamical duality transformation.

4.2 The asymptotic solution

The Bethe equations for momentum-carrying $u_4,u_{\bar4}$ can be find from the exact Bethe equations (4.3). The asymptotic large L solutions of the black nodes are given by [45]

$$Y_{\blacktriangleleft_a}\simeq\left(\frac{x^{[-a]}}{x^{[+a]}}\right)^L T_{a,1}\prod_{n=-\frac{a-1}{2}}^{\frac{a-1}{2}}\Phi_4^{\theta^E_{na}}(u+in)\Phi_{\bar4}^{\theta^O_{na}}(u+in)\,, \tag{4.10}$$

$$Y_{\blacktriangleright_a}\simeq\left(\frac{x^{[-a]}}{x^{[+a]}}\right)^L T_{a,1}\prod_{n=-\frac{a-1}{2}}^{\frac{a-1}{2}}\Phi_4^{\theta^O_{na}}(u+in)\Phi_{\bar4}^{\theta^E_{na}}(u+in)\,, \tag{4.11}$$

where θ^E_{na} is 0 for even and 1 for odd terms in the product:

$$\theta^E_{na}\equiv\begin{cases}1, & n+\frac{a-1}{2}\text{ is even}\\ 0, & n+\frac{a-1}{2}\text{ is odd}\end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

and $\theta^O_{na}\equiv1-\theta^E_{na}$. To match ABA equations for u_4 and $u_{\bar4}$, we have to deform the asymptotic solutions of the two black node Y-functions by phase τ_0 and $\tau_{\bar0}$, respectively.

$$\Phi_4(u)=\frac{B_4^{(+)+}R_{\bar4}^{(-)-}B_1^+B_3^-}{B_4^{(-)-}R_{\bar4}^{(+)+}B_1^-B_3^+}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{K_4}\frac{x^+_{4,j}}{x^-_{4,j}}\right)S\tau_0\,,\quad \Phi_{\bar4}(u)=\frac{B_{\bar4}^{(+)+}R_4^{(-)-}B_1^+B_3^-}{B_{\bar4}^{(-)-}R_4^{(+)+}B_1^-B_3^+}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{K_{\bar4}}\frac{x^+_{\bar4,j}}{x^-_{\bar4,j}}\right)S\tau_{\bar0}, \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0 &= e^{i(\frac{3\pi}{2}\delta_1(K_1-2K_2+K_3)-\pi\delta_2(2L+2K_2-2K_3+K_4-K_{\bar{4}})+\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_3(K_1-2K_2+K_3))},\\ \tau_{\bar{0}} &= e^{i(-\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_1(K_1-2K_2+K_3)+\pi\delta_2(2L+2K_2-2K_3-K_4+K_{\bar{4}})-\frac{3\pi}{2}\delta_3(K_1-2K_2+K_3))}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

5 Applications to $sl(2)$ sector

In this section we will find some applications of our result for $sl(2)$ sector. The Y-functions simply a lot in this sector, where we only excite symmetrically the same number of moment carrying roots u_4 and $u_{\bar{4}}$: $u_{4,j} = u_{\bar{4},j}$. This leads to $\delta_2 = 0$ and $Y_{\blacktriangleleft_a} = Y_{\blacktriangleright_a} \equiv Y_{a,0}$. We then have $(\mathbf{AK})_0 = 0, \tau_1 = 1$ and generically $\delta_1 \neq \delta_3$, then the two loop solutions for twist operators can be found the same as original theory [28]. The deformations affect only through τ_2 which appears in $T_{a,1}$. The exact energy can be expressed as

$$E = 2\sum_{j=1}^{K_4}\epsilon_1^{\rm ph}(u_{4,j}) + \delta E,\ \delta E = 2\sum_{a=1}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{du}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial\epsilon_a^{\rm mir}}{\partial u}\log(1+Y_{a,0}^{\rm mir})\,. \tag{5.1}$$

The only non-trivial Q polynomial is Q_4 and $Q_{\bar{4}}$ and they are equal to each other, then the twist generating functional simplifies as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{a=0}^{\infty}(-1)^a T_{a,1}^{[1-a]}D^a = \\ &(1-D)^{-1}\left(1-\tau_2\left(\frac{R_4^{(+)-}}{R_4^{(-)-}}\right)^2 D\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\tau_2}\left(\frac{R_4^{(+)-}}{R_4^{(-)-}}\right)^2 D\right)\left(1-\left(\frac{B_4^{(+)+}R_4^{(+)-}}{B_4^{(-)+}R_4^{(-)-}}\right)^2 D\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The $Y_{a,0}$ functions are then given by

$$Y_{a,0}(u) \simeq \left(\frac{x^{[-a]}}{x^{[+a]}}\right)^L \Phi_a(u)T_{a,1}(u), \tag{5.3}$$

where the scalar factor Φ_a is

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{B_4^{(+)+}R_4^{(-)-}}{B_4^{(-)-}R_4^{(+)+}}S_4^2\left(\prod_{j=1}^{K_4}\frac{x_{4,j}^+}{x_{4,j}^-}\right),\ \Phi_a(u) = \prod_{k=-\frac{a-1}{2}}^{\frac{a-1}{2}}\Phi(u+ik). \tag{5.4}$$

Finally, the leading wrapping corrections at weak coupling is

$$\delta E^{\rm LO} \simeq -\sum_{a=1}^{\infty}\int\frac{du}{\pi}Y_{a,0}^{\rm mir}(u)\,. \tag{5.5}$$

To compute the leading wrapping effect, all we need is to find the $Y_{a,0}$ functions in mirror dynamics. Here we give some efficient formulas:

Dispersion

The universal factor $(x^{[-a]}/x^{[+a]})^L$ evaluated in the mirror dynamics is

$$\left[\frac{4h^2(\lambda)}{a^2+4u^2}\right]^L. \tag{5.6}$$

Twisted $T_{a,s}$

After some computations, one find the following compact formula for $T_{a,1}$[3]

$$T_{a,1}^{\rm mir} \simeq 4\sin^2\frac{\pi(\delta_1-\delta_3)L}{2}(-1)^a\sum_{\substack{p=-a+1\\ \Delta p=2}}^{a-1}\left(\frac{Q_4^{[p]}}{Q_4^{[1-a]}}\right)^2. \tag{5.7}$$

[3]This result and the one just below are valid when the Bethe roots are invariant with respect to the origin. This condition is valid for all cases considered in this section.

Fused scalar factor

To compute the fusion of scalar factor, one should be careful on the dressing phase, which are evaluated in the mirror-physical kinematics and has different weak coupling expansion from the physical-physical kinematics [58]. Take this into account, we find[4]

$$\Phi_a^{\rm mir} \simeq \left[Q_4^+(0)\right]^2 \frac{Q_4^{[1-a]}}{Q_4^{[-1-a]}Q_4^{[a-1]}Q_4^{[a+1]}}. \tag{5.8}$$

The asymptotic Bethe equations for states in the $sl(2)$ sector (with twist L and excitations N) read

$$\left(\frac{x_k^+}{x_k^-}\right)^L = -\prod_{j\neq k}^{N} \frac{u_k - u_j + i}{u_k - u_j - i} \left(\frac{x_k^- - x_j^+}{x_k^+ - x_j^-}\right)^2 \sigma_{BES}^2, \tag{5.9}$$

where we denote $u_{4,j}(=u_{\bar{4},j})$ as u_j. Anomalous dimensions of twist operators will be expanded in power of $h(\lambda)$ as

$$\gamma_{L,N} = L + N + \sum_{l\geq 1} \gamma_{L,N}^{(l)} h^l(\lambda). \tag{5.10}$$

5.1 Two-loop wrapping corrections to twist-1 operators

The twist-1 operators in ABJM theory have also been discussed in [59]. In original theory, wrapping corrections appear at four-loop. But in our case, the wrapping effect appears at two-loop. The leading order Bethe ansatz equations are

$$\frac{u_k + \frac{i}{2}}{u_k - \frac{i}{2}} = -\prod_{j\neq k}^{N} \frac{u_k - u_j - i}{u_k - u_j + i}, \qquad k, j = 1, \ldots, N \tag{5.11}$$

It can be written in the very efficient Baxter function formalism

$$\left(u + \frac{i}{2}\right) Q(u+i) - \left(u - \frac{i}{2}\right) Q(u-i) = i(2N+1)Q(u), \tag{5.12}$$

where $Q(u)$ is the Baxter polynomial

$$Q(u) = \mathcal{N} \prod_{k=1}^{N} (u - u_k). \tag{5.13}$$

The solution of the Baxter equation is

$$Q(u) = {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -N, & iu + \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 \end{matrix}; 2\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Inserting it in the Y-system equations for wrapping effects, we obtain the simple result

$$\delta E^{\rm LO} = (-1)^N \frac{4}{2N+1} h^2(\lambda) \sin^2 \frac{\pi(\delta_1 - \delta_3)}{2}. \tag{5.15}$$

[4] We thank Fedor Levkovich-Maslyuk to clarify this point.

5.2 Four-loop wrapping corrections to twist-2 operators

It turns out that the Bethe ansatz for twist-2 operators is equivalent to the following Baxter equation

$$\left(u+\frac{i}{2}\right)^2 Q(u+i)-\left(u-\frac{i}{2}\right)^2 Q(u-i)=i(2N+2)uQ(u), \tag{5.16}$$

the Baxter polynomials are known for even N,

$$Q(u)={}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-\frac{N}{2},\ iu+\frac{1}{2},-iu+\frac{1}{2}\\ 1,\qquad 1\end{matrix};1\right). \tag{5.17}$$

The leading wrapping correction appears at four loops.

$$\delta E^{\rm LO}=4\sin^2(\pi(\delta_1-\delta_3))\gamma^{(4)}_{2,N}h^4(\lambda). \tag{5.18}$$

The Y-system provides the following result for the first 10 values

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,2}&=-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{7\pi^2}{45},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,4}&=-\frac{11}{36}+\frac{11\pi^2}{105},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,6}&=-\frac{29}{108}+\frac{3607\pi^2}{45045},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,8}&=-\frac{1543}{6480}+\frac{30001\pi^2}{459459},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,10}&=-\frac{647}{3024}+\frac{161\pi^2}{2907},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,12}&=-\frac{24509}{126000}+\frac{3843467\pi^2}{79676025},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,14}&=-\frac{4252817}{23814000}+\frac{22835561\pi^2}{533216475},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,16}&=-\frac{8247539}{49896000}+\frac{251177003\pi^2}{6511704225},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,18}&=-\frac{225956701}{1466942400}+\frac{5079358441\pi^2}{144559833795},\\
\gamma^{(4)}_{2,20}&=-\frac{8258864171}{57210753600}+\frac{44175747151\pi^2}{1367758427445}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

However a closed formula has not be found.

For $\delta_1=\delta_3$ but not necessary to be zero, and $\delta_2=0$, the deformation effects disappear in the leading wrapping corrections.

6 β-deformation

β-deformation of ABJM theory correspond to chose the three parameters as $(0,\delta_2,0)$, and $2\delta_2=-\gamma_3\equiv\beta,\gamma_1=\gamma_2=0$. As discussed in [30], in this case the theory preserves $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry.

In this case, due to the fact that the phases in the scalar factor do not vanish, the two black node Y-functions are different. The leading wrapping corrections are expressed by

$$\delta E^{\rm LO}\simeq-\sum_{a=1}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{du}{2\pi}(Y^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleleft_a}+Y^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleright_a})\,. \tag{6.1}$$

6.1 One-particle state

In this section, we will discuss the leading wrapping of a single magnon state in β-deformed theory. We consider the state with only one type-4 excitation $K_4 = 1$. The unique momentum carrying root u_4 must satisfy Bethe equation and cyclic condition

$$\left(\frac{x_4^+}{x_4^-}\right)^L = e^{-\pi i L\beta}, \qquad \frac{x_4^+}{x_4^-} = e^{-\pi i\beta}. \tag{6.2}$$

A solution is easily obtained

$$u_4 = -\frac{1}{2}\cot(\frac{\pi\beta}{2}) - 2\sin(\pi\beta)h^2(\lambda) + \cdots . \tag{6.3}$$

The twisted generating functional reads

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{a=0}^{\infty}(-1)^a T_{a,1}^{[1-a]} D^a = \\ &(1-e^{i\pi\beta/2}D)^{-1}\left(1-e^{-i\pi\beta/2}\frac{R_4^{(+)-}}{R_4^{(-)-}}D\right)\left(1-e^{i\pi\beta/2}\frac{R_4^{(+)-}}{R_4^{(-)-}}D\right)\left(1-e^{-i\pi\beta/2}\frac{B_4^{(+)+}R_4^{(+)-}}{B_4^{(-)+}R_4^{(-)-}}D\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

After some manipulations, we find the formula

$$T_{a,1}^{\rm mir} \simeq (-1)^a \frac{16h^2 e^{i\pi(a+1)\beta/2}a(a^2+4u^2-\csc^2(\pi\beta/2))\sin^3(\pi\beta/2)}{(a^2+4u^2)(i-ia+\cot(\pi\beta/2)+2u)}. \tag{6.5}$$

In this case $\tau_0 = e^{-\pi i(2L+1)\beta/2}$,$\tau_{\bar 0} = e^{\pi i(2L-1)\beta/2}$, and work carefully with these phases we find

$$\Phi_{\blacktriangleleft_a}^{\rm mir} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-i\pi(a+1)\beta/2}(i-ia+\cot(\pi\beta/2)+2u)}{(a^2+2a+4u^2)\sin(\pi\beta/2)+u\cos(\pi\beta/2)} & a \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{e^{-i\pi\beta(a+2L+1)/2}(i-ia+\cot(\pi\beta/2)+2u)}{\sin(\pi\beta/2)(-ia-i+\cot(\pi\beta/2)+2u)(ia-i+\cot(\pi\beta/2)+2u)} & a \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{6.6}$$

$$\Phi_{\blacktriangleright_a}^{\rm mir} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-i\pi(a+1)\beta/2}}{\sin(\pi\beta/2)(ia-i+\cot(\pi\beta/2)+2u)} & a \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{e^{-i\pi\beta(a-2L+1)/2}}{\sin(\pi\beta/2)(ia+i+\cot(\pi\beta/2)+2u)} & a \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{6.7}$$

Despite the annoying phases appearing in T-functions and the fused scalar factors, the sums of the gauge invariant Y-functions are real when the two black nodes are combined. Their structures are totally different for a be even and odd. When a is an even number

$$Y_{\blacktriangleleft_a}^{\rm mir} + Y_{\blacktriangleright_a}^{\rm mir} \simeq \frac{h^{2L+2}2^{2L+6}(a^2+4u^2-\csc^2(\pi\beta/2))\sin^4(\pi\beta/2)}{(a^2+4u^2)^{L+1}}\frac{2+a^2+4u^2-(a^2+4u^2)\cos(\pi\beta)+4u\sin(\pi\beta)}{y_a y_{-a}}, \tag{6.8}$$

and for odd a

$$Y_{\blacktriangleleft_a}^{\rm mir} + Y_{\blacktriangleright_a}^{\rm mir} \simeq \frac{-h^{2L+2}2^{2L+7}(a^2+4u^2-\csc^2(\pi\beta/2))\sin^4(\pi\beta/2)}{(a^2+4u^2)^{L+1}}\times$$
$$\frac{((a^2+4u^2)\sin^2(\pi\beta/2)+\cos(\pi\beta)+2u\sin(\pi\beta))\cos(\pi L\beta)+(2-2u\cos(\pi\beta)+\sin(\pi\beta))\sin(\pi L\beta)}{y_a y_{-a}}, \tag{6.9}$$

where

$$y_a = 2+a^2+2a+4u^2-(a^2+2a+4u^2)\cos(\pi\beta)+4u\sin(\pi\beta). \tag{6.10}$$

If we take $\beta = 1$, these expressions simplify considerably. The summation cannot be done directly, because the even term and the odd term have different expressions. However, since the series is absolutely converge, we can add the even term and odd term separately. Substitute the above formula to eq. (6.1), sum the even terms and odd terms together, the leading wrapping appear at h^{2L+2},

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta E^{\beta=1}_{L=1} &= -8\zeta_2, \\
\delta E^{\beta=1}_{L=2} &= -16(2\zeta_2 - 3\zeta_4), \\
\delta E^{\beta=1}_{L=3} &= -136\zeta_4 + 155\zeta_6, \\
\delta E^{\beta=1}_{L=4} &= -4(56\zeta_4 + 75\zeta_6 - 140\zeta_8).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

In above computations, we found the odd powers of π all cancel.

6.2 A simple case of two particle state

In this section, we consider the wrapping effect for the β-deformed operator with $L = 2$ and in irreducible representation **20** of $SU(4)$. In this paper, we mainly work in the $sl(2)$ grading. So we apply Fermionic duality transformation on the Bethe ansatz. For this we first in the $su(2)$ grading add an u_3 root at zero and change L from $L = 2$ to $L = 1$ [59] and then perform the Fermionic duality transformation. At lowest order, the β-deformed Bethe equations read

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{u_4 + \frac{i}{2}}{u_4 - \frac{i}{2}} &= e^{-i\pi\beta}\frac{u_4 - u_{\bar{4}} - i}{u_4 - u_{\bar{4}} + i}, \\
\frac{u_{\bar{4}} + \frac{i}{2}}{u_{\bar{4}} - \frac{i}{2}} &= e^{i\pi\beta}\frac{u_{\bar{4}} - u_4 - i}{u_{\bar{4}} - u_4 + i},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

and the momentum conservation equation is not deformed

$$\frac{u_4 + \frac{i}{2}}{u_4 - \frac{i}{2}}\frac{u_{\bar{4}} + \frac{i}{2}}{u_{\bar{4}} - \frac{i}{2}} = 1\,. \tag{6.13}$$

Their root is easily found to be $u_4 = \Delta, u_{\bar{4}} = -\Delta$ with $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}\tan\frac{\pi\beta}{4}$[5]. In this case, we have two kinds of Baxter polynomial. $Q_4(u) = u - \Delta$,$Q_{\bar{4}}(u) = u + \Delta$ and define $Q = Q_4 Q_{\bar{4}}$. Since $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 1, \tau_0 = e^{-\pi i\beta}, \tau_{\bar{0}} = e^{\pi i\beta}$, the deformation does not appear in T-functions. It only affects the fused scalar factors.

$$\Phi^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleleft_a} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{Q_4^+(0)Q_{\bar{4}}^+(0)Q_4^{[1-a]}}{Q_4^{[a+1]}Q_4^{[-a-1]}Q_{\bar{4}}^{[a-1]}} & a \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{[Q_{\bar{4}}^+(0)]^3 Q_4^{[1-a]}}{Q_4^+(0)Q_4^{[a-1]}Q_4^{[-a-1]}Q_{\bar{4}}^{[a+1]}} & a \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{6.14}$$

$$\Phi^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleright_a} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{Q_4^+(0)Q_{\bar{4}}^+(0)Q_{\bar{4}}^{[1-a]}}{Q_{\bar{4}}^{[a+1]}Q_{\bar{4}}^{[-a-1]}Q_4^{[a-1]}} & a \text{ is even}, \\ \frac{[Q_4^+(0)]^3 Q_{\bar{4}}^{[1-a]}}{Q_{\bar{4}}^+(0)Q_{\bar{4}}^{[a-1]}Q_{\bar{4}}^{[-a-1]}Q_4^{[a+1]}} & a \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{6.15}$$

The T-functions are the same as the ones in undeformed theory

$$T^{\rm mir}_{a,1} \simeq i\,c\,h^2(\lambda)\frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{Q^{[1-a]}}\sum_{\substack{p=-a \\ \Delta p=2}}^{a}\frac{Q^{[-1-p]} - Q^{[1-p]}}{u - \frac{i}{2}p}\bigg|_{Q^{[-a-1]},Q^{[a+1]}\to 0}, \tag{6.16}$$

where

$$c = i(\log Q)'|^{u=i/2}_{u=-i/2}\,. \tag{6.17}$$

[5]There is another root with $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}\cot\frac{\pi\beta}{4}$. This solution will not be considered here since it will go to infinity when $\beta \to 0$.

For an even a

$$Y^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleleft_a}+Y^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleright_a}\simeq\frac{256h^4a\left(a^2-4\Delta^2+4u^2-1\right)}{(a^2+4u^2)^2}\times$$
$$\times\frac{\left(a^4+8a^2\Delta^2+8a^2u^2-2a^2+\left(4\Delta^2+1\right)^2+16u^4-32\Delta^2u^2+8u^2\right)}{\tilde{y}_a\tilde{y}_{-a}},\tag{6.18}$$

and for an odd a

$$Y^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleleft_a}+Y^{\rm mir}_{\blacktriangleright_a}\simeq\frac{-256h^4a(a^2-4\Delta^2+4u^2-1)}{(a^2+4u^2)^2(1+4\Delta^2)^2}\frac{\rm num}{\tilde{y}_a\tilde{y}_{-a}},\tag{6.19}$$

where the numerator is

$$\begin{aligned}{\rm num}=&\,16a^4\Delta^4-24a^4\Delta^2+a^4+128a^2\Delta^6+32a^2\Delta^4-8a^2\Delta^2\\&+128a^2\Delta^4u^2-192a^2\Delta^2u^2+8a^2u^2-2a^2+256\Delta^8+256\Delta^6+96\Delta^4+16\Delta^2\\&+256\Delta^4u^4-384\Delta^2u^4+16u^4-512\Delta^6u^2-128\Delta^4u^2+32\Delta^2u^2+8u^2+1,\end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

and

$$\tilde{y}_a=a^4+4a^3+8a^2\Delta^2+8a^2u^2+6a^2+16a\Delta^2+16au^2+4a+16\Delta^4+8\Delta^2+16u^4-32\Delta^2u^2+8u^2+1.\tag{6.21}$$

After integrate of u, summing them together, we find

$$\delta E^{\rm LO}=\frac{8h^4(\lambda)(12-\pi^2(1+4\Delta^2))}{3(1+4\Delta^2)^2}.\tag{6.22}$$

Taking the deformation parameter $\beta\to 0$, which means $\Delta\to 0$, we recover the result of undeformed ABJM theory [6, 51],

$$\delta E^{\rm LO}=h^4(\lambda)(32-16\zeta(2)).\tag{6.23}$$

7 Conclusions

In this paper we have assumed the integrability of planar γ-deformed ABJM theory at all loop order based on computations using algebraic Bethe ansatz at two loop in planar scalar sector, and proposed the asymptotic Bethe ansatz equations via similar treatment in γ-deformed $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. Duality properties of the twist charges have been investigated, which are essential for the twisted Bethe equations. Utilising the Y-system techniques, we compute the leading wrapping corrections for various operators. Some important results of β-deformed theory are obtained, which should be checked through a direct Feynman diagram computation as in [60, 61] for β-deformed SYM. There are strong evidence that planar ABJ theory [70] is integrable as well. As suggested in [71], then the results here on wrapping corrections also give the results for the same quantities in γ-deformed ABJ theory just through replacing $h(\lambda)$ by the function $h(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ given in [71]. It is also interesting to find the S-matrix of the spin chain for γ-deformed ABJM theory based on the studies in [62, 63] and study the integrability of IIA string theory on $AdS_4\times CP^3_\gamma$ based on [64]-[69].

Acknowledgments

It is our great pleasure to thank C. Ahn, Z. Chang, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, J. Plefka and Z.-Y. Xian for very helpful discussions. JW would also like to thank the participants of the advanced workshop "Dark Energy and Fundamental Theory" supported by the Special Fund for Theoretical Physics from NSFC with Grant No. 11447613 for stimulating discussion. We thank Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University during the 9th Summer School in Theoretical Physics on *Integrable Models and their Applications*. This work was in part supported by Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11575202(HC, JW), 11275208(PL), 11575195(PL). JW also gratefully acknowledges the support of K. C. Wong Education Foundation.

A Algebraic Bethe Ansatz

The proof of integrability of planar γ-deformed ABJM theory in the scalar sector at two loop level is almost the same as the one for β-deformed theory in [29], the only difference is now we need to use the star product defined in eq. (2.1). So here we only list the Hamiltonian from the Feynman diagram computations,

$$\widetilde{H} = \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2L} \left(\mathbb{I} - \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{i,i+2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}_{i,i+2}\mathbb{K}_{i,i+1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}_{i,i+2}\mathbb{K}_{i+1,i+2} \right), \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{i,i+2}\right)^{I_i I_{i+1} I_{i+2}}_{J_i J_{i+1} J_{i+2}} &\equiv \exp(-2\pi i (Q_{Y^{J_i}} \times Q_{Y^{J_{i+1}}} + Q_{Y^{J_{i+1}}} \times Q_{Y^{J_{i+2}}} + Q_{Y^{J_{i+2}}} \times Q_{Y^{J_i}})) \\ &\times \ (\mathbb{P}_{i,i+2})^{I_i I_{i+1} I_{i+2}}_{J_i J_{i+1} J_{i+2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

and the R matrices,

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}^{\mathbf{44}}}(u)^{IJ}_{KL} = f^{IJ}_{KL} (u\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{P})^{IJ}_{KL}, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}^{\mathbf{4\bar{4}}}}(u)^{IJ}_{KL} = (f^{IJ}_{KL})^{-1} (-(u+2)\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{K})^{IJ}_{KL}, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}^{\bar{\mathbf{4}}\mathbf{4}}}(u)^{IJ}_{KL} = (f^{IJ}_{KL})^{-1} (-(u+2)\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{K})^{IJ}_{KL}, \tag{A.5}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}^{\bar{\mathbf{4}}\bar{\mathbf{4}}}}(u)^{IJ}_{KL} = f^{IJ}_{KL} (u\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{P})^{IJ}_{KL}, \tag{A.6}$$

and the definition of f^{IJ}_{KL} is

$$f^{IJ}_{KL} = \exp(i\pi(Q_{Y^J} \times Q_{Y^I} - Q_{Y^K} \times Q_{Y^L})). \tag{A.7}$$

The obtained Bethe ansatz equations are

$$\exp\left(2\pi i \tilde{Q} \times (Q_{Y^1} - Q_{Y^2})\right) \left(\frac{u_{4,k} + \frac{i}{2}}{u_{4,k} - \frac{i}{2}}\right)^L = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{K_4} \frac{u_{4,k} - u_{4,j} + i}{u_{4,k} - u_{4,j} - i} \prod_{j=1}^{K_3} \frac{u_{4,k} - u_{3,j} - \frac{i}{2}}{u_{4,k} - u_{3,j} + \frac{i}{2}}, \tag{A.8}$$

$$\exp\left(2\pi i \tilde{Q} \times (Q_{Y^2} - Q_{Y^3})\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{u_{3,k} - u_{4,j} - \frac{i}{2}}{u_{3,k} - u_{4,j} + \frac{i}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{4}}} \frac{u_{3,k} - u_{\bar{4},j} - \frac{i}{2}}{u_{3,k} - u_{\bar{4},j} + \frac{i}{2}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{K_3} \frac{u_{3,k} - u_{3,j} + i}{u_{3,k} - u_{4,j} - i}, \tag{A.9}$$

$$\exp\left(2\pi i \tilde{Q} \times (Q_{Y^3} - Q_{Y^4})\right) \left(\frac{u_{\bar{4},k} + \frac{i}{2}}{u_{\bar{4},k} - \frac{i}{2}}\right)^L = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{K_{\bar{4}}} \frac{u_{\bar{4},k} - u_{\bar{4},j} + i}{u_{\bar{4},k} - u_{\bar{4},j} - i} \prod_{j=1}^{K_3} \frac{u_{\bar{4},k} - u_{3,j} - \frac{i}{2}}{u_{\bar{4},k} - u_{3,j} + \frac{i}{2}}. \tag{A.10}$$

Here we have defined

$$\tilde{Q} \equiv (L - K_4)Q_{Y^1} + (K_4 - K_3)Q_{Y^2} + (K_3 - K_{\bar{4}})Q_{Y^3} + (K_{\bar{4}} - L)Q_{Y^4}. \tag{A.11}$$

The zero momentum condition is

$$\exp\left(2\pi i \tilde{Q} \times (Q_{Y^4} - Q_{Y^1})\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{K_4} \frac{u_{4,j} + \frac{i}{2}}{u_{4,j} - \frac{i}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{4}}} \frac{u_{\bar{4},j} + \frac{i}{2}}{u_{\bar{4},j} - \frac{i}{2}}. \tag{A.12}$$

Fields	Y^1	Y^2	Y^3	Y^4	$\Psi^{\dagger 1}$	$\Psi^{\dagger 2}$	$\Psi^{\dagger 3}$	$\Psi^{\dagger 4}$
$U(1)_1$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
$U(1)_2$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
$U(1)_3$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
$U(1)_4$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$

Table 2: Charges of fields under four $U(1)$ generators.

These equations can be written as

$$e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{AK})_j}\left(\frac{u_{j,k}+\frac{i}{2}V_j}{u_{j,k}-\frac{i}{2}V_j}\right)^L=\prod_{\substack{j'=3,4,\bar{4}\\(j',k')\neq(j,k)}}\prod_{k'=1}^{K_{j'}}\frac{u_{j,k}-u_{j',k'}+\frac{i}{2}M_{j,j'}}{u_{j,k}-u_{j',k'}+\frac{i}{2}M_{j,j'}}, \tag{A.13}$$

with $j=3,4,\bar{4}$ and

$$e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{AK})_0}=\prod_{j=3,4,\bar{4}}\prod_{k=1}^{K_j}\frac{u_{j,k}+\frac{i}{2}V_j}{u_{j,k}-\frac{i}{2}V_j}. \tag{A.14}$$

Here we have use the Cartan matrix of $SO(6)$,

$$M_{jj'}=\begin{pmatrix}2&-1&-1\\-1&2&0\\-1&0&2\end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.15}$$

and the Dynkin labels $V_j=(0,1,1)$. Since at two-loop level in the scalar sector, flipping the signs of $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3$ simultaneously is just map the Hamiltonian to its transpose matrix. Then this will not change the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. So the result here is consistent with the one in the main part of this paper.

In fact, we can further use $U(1)_b$ symmetry of ABJM theory as the fourth $U(1)$ symmetry and construct a six-parameter deformation with the $\mathbf{C}$ matrix be

$$\mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix}0&-\gamma_3&+\gamma_2&\alpha_1\\+\gamma_3&0&-\gamma_1&\alpha_2\\-\gamma_2&+\gamma_1&0&\alpha_3\\-\alpha_1&-\alpha_2&-\alpha_3&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.16}$$

Now the charges are list in table 2. Notice that if we choose $\gamma_1=\gamma_2=\alpha_3=0$, this will go back to the three-parameter deformation considered in [29]. Using the fact that the four scalars share the same fourth charge, it is not hard to find that $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3$ will not enter the phase of the Hamiltonian (eq. (A.1)) in the scalar sector at two loop order.

B The $su(2)$ grading

In the section, we briefly discuss the some relevant formula in $su(2)$ grading. The twist matrix is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c|cccc}0&\delta_1-\delta_3&0&\delta_1-\delta_3&-\delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3&-\delta_1-2\delta_2+\delta_3\\\hline\delta_3-\delta_1&0&0&\delta_3-\delta_1&2\delta_1&-2\delta_3\\0&0&0&0&0&0\\\delta_3-\delta_1&\delta_1-\delta_3&0&0&\delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3&-\delta_1-2\delta_2-\delta_3\\\delta_1-2\delta_2-\delta_3&-2\delta_1&0&-\delta_1-2\delta_2-\delta_3&0&2\delta_1+4\delta_2+2\delta_3\\\delta_1+2\delta_2-\delta_3&2\delta_3&0&\delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3&-2\delta_1-4\delta_2-2\delta_3&0\end{array}\right). \tag{B.1}$$

In the case, the twist matrix still have the form (2.11), but with the three charges different

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{q}_1 &= (0|-1,0,-1,1,1),\\ \mathbf{q}_2 &= (1|0,0,1,-2,0),\\ \mathbf{q}_3 &= (1|0,0,1,0,-2).\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Here we propose the following twisted generating functional

$$\mathcal{W}_{su(2)} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_1}\frac{B^{(-)-}Q_1^+R^{(-)+}}{B^{(+)-}Q_1^-R^{(-)-}}D}\left(1-\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_2}\frac{Q_1^+Q_2^{--}R^{(-)+}}{Q_1^-Q_2R^{(+)+}}D\right)\left(1-\tilde{\tau}_2\frac{Q_2^{++}Q_3^-R^{(-)+}}{Q_2Q_3^+R^{(+)+}}D\right)\frac{1}{1-\tilde{\tau}_1\frac{Q_3^-}{Q_3^+}D}. \tag{B.3}$$

The cancellation of the potential poles in the $T_{a,s}$ functions give the following Bethe equations

$$1=\frac{\tilde{\tau}_2}{\tilde{\tau}_1}\frac{Q_2^+B^{(-)}}{Q_2^-B^{(+)}}\Big|_{u=u_{1,k}},\ -1=\frac{1}{(\tilde{\tau}_2)^2}\frac{Q_1^+Q_2^{--}Q_3^+}{Q_1^-Q_2^{++}Q_3^-}\Big|_{u=u_{2,k}},\ 1=\frac{\tilde{\tau}_2}{\tilde{\tau}_1}\frac{Q_2^+R^{(-)}}{Q_2^-R^{(+)}}\Big|_{u=u_{3,k}}. \tag{B.4}$$

We chose

$$\tilde{\tau}_1 = e^{-\pi i((\delta_3-\delta_1)L+(\delta_1-\delta_3)\tilde{K}_1+(\delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3)(\tilde{K}_4-\tilde{K}_{\bar{4}}))},\quad \tilde{\tau}_2=1 \tag{B.5}$$

to match Bethe equations for u_1,u_2,u_3 in this grading. The scalar factors should behave as

$$\tilde{\Phi}_4(u)=\frac{Q_4^{++}B_3^+B_1^-}{Q_4^{--}B_3^-B_1^+}S\tilde{\tau}_0\,,\qquad \tilde{\Phi}_{\bar{4}}(u)=\frac{Q_{\bar{4}}^{++}B_3^+B_1^-}{Q_{\bar{4}}^{--}B_3^-B_1^+}S\tilde{\tau}_{\bar{0}}\,, \tag{B.6}$$

where

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = e^{\pi i(-2\delta_2L-(\delta_1+\delta_3)\tilde{K}_1-(\delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3)(\tilde{K}_3-K_4-K_{\bar{4}}))}\,,\tilde{\tau}_{\bar{0}}=e^{\pi i(2\delta_2L+(\delta_1+\delta_3)\tilde{K}_1+(\delta_1+2\delta_2+\delta_3)(\tilde{K}_3-K_4-K_{\bar{4}}))}\,. \tag{B.7}$$

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999) [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998)] doi:10.1023/A:1026654312961 [hep-th/9711200].

[2] Babichenko, A., B. Stefaski, and Konstantin Zarembo. "Integrability and the AdS 3/CFT 2 correspondence." Journal of High Energy Physics 2010.3 (2010): 1-45 [arXiv:0912.1723 [hep-th]].

[3] T. Klose, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter IV.3: N=6 Chern-Simons and Strings on AdS4xCP3," Lett. Math. Phys. **99** (2012) 401 doi:10.1007/s11005-011-0520-y [arXiv:1012.3999 [hep-th]].

[4] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, "N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals," JHEP **0810**, 091 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/091 [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].

[5] N. Gromov and P. Vieira, "The all loop AdS4/CFT3 Bethe ansatz," JHEP **0901**, 016 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/01/016 [arXiv:0807.0777 [hep-th]].

[6] N. Gromov, V. Kazakov and P. Vieira, "Exact Spectrum of Anomalous Dimensions of Planar N=4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** (2009) 131601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.131601 [arXiv:0901.3753 [hep-th]].

[7] N. Gromov, V. Kazakov, S. Leurent and D. Volin, "Quantum Spectral Curve for Planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) no.1, 011602 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.011602 [arXiv:1305.1939 [hep-th]].

[8] L. Anselmetti, D. Bombardelli, A. Cavagli and R. Tateo, "12 loops and triple wrapping in ABJM theory from integrability," JHEP **1510**, 117 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2015)117 [arXiv:1506.09089 [hep-th]].

[9] A. Cavaglià, D. Fioravanti, N. Gromov and R. Tateo, "Quantum Spectral Curve of the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 2, 021601 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.021601 [arXiv:1403.1859 [hep-th]].

[10] B. Chen, X. J. Wang and Y. S. Wu, "Integrable open spin chain in super Yang-Mills and the plane wave / SYM duality," JHEP **0402**, 029 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/02/029 [hep-th/0401016].

[11] B. Chen, X. J. Wang and Y. S. Wu, "Open spin chain and open spinning string," Phys. Lett. B **591**, 170 (2004) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.04.013 [hep-th/0403004].

[12] T. Erler and N. Mann, "Integrable open spin chains and the doubling trick in N=2 SYM with fundamental matter," JHEP **0601**, 131 (2006) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/01/131 [hep-th/0508064].

[13] R. Roiban, "On spin chains and field theories," JHEP **0409**, 023 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/09/023 [hep-th/0312218].

[14] D. Berenstein and S. A. Cherkis, "Deformations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and integrable spin chain models," Nucl. Phys. B **702**, 49 (2004) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.09.005 [hep-th/0405215].

[15] N. Beisert and R. Roiban, "Beauty and the twist: The Bethe ansatz for twisted N=4 SYM," JHEP **0508**, 039 (2005) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/08/039 [hep-th/0505187].

[16] T. Mansson and K. Zoubos, "Quantum Symmetries and Marginal Deformations," JHEP **1010**, 043 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2010)043 [arXiv:0811.3755 [hep-th]].

[17] X. J. Wang and Y. S. Wu, "Integrable spin chain and operator mixing in N=1, 2 supersymmetric theories," Nucl. Phys. B **683**, 363 (2004) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.12.040 [hep-th/0311073].

[18] K. Ideguchi, "Semiclassical strings on AdS(5) x S(5)/Z(M) and operators in orbifold field theories," JHEP **0409**, 008 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/09/008 [hep-th/0408014].

[19] N. Beisert and R. Roiban, "The Bethe ansatz for Z(S) orbifolds of N=4 super Yang-Mills theory," JHEP **0511**, 037 (2005) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/11/037 [hep-th/0510209].

[20] A. Solovyov, "Bethe Ansatz Equations for General Orbifolds of N=4 SYM," JHEP **0804**, 013 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/013 [arXiv:0711.1697 [hep-th]].

[21] G. Arutyunov, M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "Twisting the Mirror TBA," JHEP **1102**, 025 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2011)025 [arXiv:1009.4118 [hep-th]].

[22] M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "The spectral problem for strings on twisted $AdS_5 \times S^5$," Nucl. Phys. B **860**, 339 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.03.004 [arXiv:1201.1451 [hep-th]].

[23] K. Zoubos, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter IV.2: Deformations, Orbifolds and Open Boundaries," Lett. Math. Phys. **99**, 375 (2012) [arXiv:1012.3998 [hep-th]].

[24] S. J. van Tongeren, "Integrability of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ superstring and its deformations," J. Phys. A **47**, 433001 (2014) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/47/43/433001 [arXiv:1310.4854 [hep-th]].

[25] C. Ahn, Z. Bajnok, D. Bombardelli and R. I. Nepomechie, "TBA, NLO Luscher correction, and double wrapping in twisted AdS/CFT," JHEP **1112**, 059 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2011)059 [arXiv:1108.4914 [hep-th]].

[26] C. Ahn, Z. Bajnok, D. Bombardelli and R. I. Nepomechie, "Finite-size effect for four-loop Konishi of the β-deformed N=4 SYM," Phys. Lett. B **693**, 380 (2010) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.08.056 [arXiv:1006.2209 [hep-th]].

[27] N. Gromov and F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, "Y-system and β-deformed N=4 Super-Yang-Mills," J. Phys. A **44** (2011) 015402 doi:10.1088/1751-8113/44/1/015402 [arXiv:1006.5438 [hep-th]].

[28] M. Beccaria, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk and G. Macorini, "On wrapping corrections to GKP-like operators," JHEP **1103** (2011) 001 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2011)001 [arXiv:1012.2054 [hep-th]].

[29] S. He and J. B. Wu, "Note on Integrability of Marginally Deformed ABJ(M) Theories," JHEP **1304**, 012 (2013) Erratum: [JHEP **1604**, 139 (2016)] doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2013)012, 10.1007/JHEP04(2016)139, [arXiv:1302.2208 [hep-th]].

[30] E. Imeroni, "On deformed gauge theories and their string/M-theory duals," JHEP **0810**, 026 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/026 [arXiv:0808.1271 [hep-th]]

[31] N. Bai, H. H. Chen, X. C. Ding, D. S. Li and J. B. Wu, "Integrability of Orbifold ABJM Theories," arXiv:1607.06643 [hep-th].

[32] O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, "Deforming field theories with U(1) x U(1) global symmetry and their gravity duals," JHEP **0505** (2005) 033 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/05/033 [hep-th/0502086].

[33] M. Schimpf and R. C. Rashkov, "A Note on strings in deformed $AdS_4 \times CP^3$: Giant magnon and single spike solutions," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **24** (2009) 3227 doi:10.1142/S0217732309032113 [arXiv:0908.2246 [hep-th]].

[34] C. Ahn and P. Bozhilov, "Finite-size Giant Magnons on $AdS_4 \times CP^3_\gamma$," Phys. Lett. B **703** (2011) 186 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.07.065 [arXiv:1106.3686 [hep-th]].

[35] J. B. Wu, "Multi-Spin Strings in $AdS_4 * CP^3$ and its β-deformations," Nucl. Phys. B **873** (2013) 260 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.04.011 [arXiv:1208.0389 [hep-th]].

[36] C. Ratti, "Notes on Multi-Spin Strings in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ and its marginal deformations," arXiv:1211.4694 [hep-th].

[37] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, "Modeling multiple M2's", Phys. Rev. D**75**,045020(2007)[arXiv:hep-th/0611108].

[38] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, "Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes", Phys. Rev. D**77**, 065008(2008) [arXiv:0711.0955].

[39] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, "Comments on Multiple M2-branes", JHEP **0802**, 105(2008)[arXiv:0712.3738].

[40] A. Gustavsson, "Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes", Nucl. Phys. B **811**, 66 (2009), [arXiv:0709.1260].

[41] A. Gustavsson, "One-loop corrections to Bagger-Lambert theory", Nucl. Phys. B **807**, 315 (2009), [arXiv:0805.4443].

[42] D. S. Berman, L. C. Tadrowski and D. C. Thompson, "Aspects of Multiple Membranes," Nucl. Phys. B **802**, 106 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.05.006 [arXiv:0803.3611 [hep-th]].

[43] D. S. Berman and L. C. Tadrowski, "M-theory brane deformations," Nucl. Phys. B **795**, 201 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.11.016 [arXiv:0709.3059 [hep-th]].

[44] D. S. Berman, "M-theory branes and their interactions," Phys. Rept. **456**, 89 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2007.10.002 [arXiv:0710.1707 [hep-th]].

[45] N. Gromov and F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, "Y-system, TBA and Quasi-Classical strings in AdS(4) x CP3," JHEP **1006** (2010) 088 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)088 [arXiv:0912.4911 [hep-th]].

[46] D. Bombardelli, D. Fioravanti and R. Tateo, "TBA and Y-system for planar AdS(4)/CFT(3)," Nucl. Phys. B **834**, 543 (2010) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.04.005 [arXiv:0912.4715 [hep-th]].

[47] D. Gaiotto, S. Giombi and X. Yin, "Spin Chains in N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter Theory," JHEP **0904**, 066 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/066 [arXiv:0806.4589 [hep-th]].

[48] G. Grignani, T. Harmark and M. Orselli, "The SU(2) x SU(2) sector in the string dual of N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons theory," Nucl. Phys. B **810**, 115 (2009) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.10.019 [arXiv:0806.4959 [hep-th]].

[49] T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, "On Type IIA Penrose Limit and N=6 Chern-Simons Theories," JHEP **0808**, 001 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/08/001 [arXiv:0806.3391 [hep-th]].

[50] J. A. Minahan, O. Ohlsson Sax and C. Sieg, "Magnon dispersion to four loops in the ABJM and ABJ models," J. Phys. A **43**, 275402 (2010) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/43/27/275402 [arXiv:0908.2463 [hep-th]].

[51] J. A. Minahan, O. Ohlsson Sax and C. Sieg, "Anomalous dimensions at four loops in N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons theories," Nucl. Phys. B **846**, 542 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.01.016 [arXiv:0912.3460 [hep-th]].

[52] M. Leoni, A. Mauri, J. A. Minahan, O. Ohlsson Sax, A. Santambrogio, C. Sieg and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, "Superspace calculation of the four-loop spectrum in N=6 supersymmetric Chern-Simons theories," JHEP **1012**, 074 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2010)074 [arXiv:1010.1756 [hep-th]].

[53] T. McLoughlin, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, "Quantum spinning strings in AdS(4) x CP**3: Testing the Bethe Ansatz proposal," JHEP **0811**, 069 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/069 [arXiv:0809.4038 [hep-th]].

[54] M. C. Abbott, I. Aniceto and D. Bombardelli, "Quantum Strings and the AdS_4/CFT_3 Interpolating Function," JHEP **1012**, 040 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2010)040 [arXiv:1006.2174 [hep-th]].

[55] C. Lopez-Arcos and H. Nastase, "Eliminating ambiguities for quantum corrections to strings moving in $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 1350058 (2013) doi:10.1142/S0217751X13500589 [arXiv:1203.4777 [hep-th]].

[56] L. Bianchi, M. S. Bianchi, A. Bres, V. Forini and E. Vescovi, "Two-loop cusp anomaly in ABJM at strong coupling," JHEP **1410**, 13 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)013 [arXiv:1407.4788 [hep-th]].

[57] N. Gromov and G. Sizov, "Exact Slope and Interpolating Functions in N=6 Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 12, 121601 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.121601 [arXiv:1403.1894 [hep-th]].

[58] N. Gromov, V. Kazakov, A. Kozak and P. Vieira, "Exact Spectrum of Anomalous Dimensions of Planar N = 4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory: TBA and excited states," Lett. Math. Phys. **91** (2010) 265 doi:10.1007/s11005-010-0374-8 [arXiv:0902.4458 [hep-th]].

[59] B. I. Zwiebel, "Two-loop Integrability of Planar N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theory," J. Phys. A **42**, 495402 (2009) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/49/495402 [arXiv:0901.0411 [hep-th]].

[60] J. Gunnesson, "Wrapping in maximally supersymmetric and marginally deformed N=4 Yang-Mills," JHEP **0904** (2009) 130 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/130 [arXiv:0902.1427 [hep-th]].

[61] F. Fiamberti, A. Santambrogio, C. Sieg and D. Zanon, "Finite-size effects in the superconformal beta-deformed N=4 SYM," JHEP **0808** (2008) 057 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/08/057 [arXiv:0806.2103 [hep-th]].

[62] C. Ahn and R. I. Nepomechie, "N=6 super Chern-Simons theory S-matrix and all-loop Bethe ansatz equations," JHEP **0809**, 010 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1924 [hep-th]].

[63] C. Ahn, Z. Bajnok, D. Bombardelli and R. I. Nepomechie, "Twisted Bethe equations from a twisted S-matrix," JHEP **1102**, 027 (2011) [arXiv:1010.3229 [hep-th]].

[64] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, "Superstrings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ as a Coset Sigma-model," JHEP **0809** (2008) 129, [arXiv:0806.4940 [hep-th]].

[65] B. Stefanski, jr, "Green-Schwarz action for Type IIA strings on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$," Nucl. Phys. **B808** (2009) 80-87, [arXiv:0806.4948 [hep-th]].

[66] J. Gomis, D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, "The Complete $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace for the type IIA superstring and D-branes," JHEP **0903**, 015 (2009), [arXiv:0811.1566 [hep-th]].

[67] D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, "Evidence for the classical integrability of the complete $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superstring," JHEP **1011**, 143 (2010), [arXiv:1009.3498 [hep-th]].

[68] D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, "Peculiarities of String Theory on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$," arXiv:1101.3777 [hep-th].

[69] S. Frolov, "Lax pair for strings in Lunin-Maldacena background," JHEP **0505**, 069 (2005) [hep-th/0503201].

[70] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D. L. Jafferis, "Fractional M2-branes," JHEP **0811**, 043 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4924 [hep-th]].

[71] A. Cavaglià, N. Gromov and F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, "On the Exact Interpolating Function in ABJ Theory," arXiv:1605.04888 [hep-th].